

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O. F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/852,389	•	05/09/2001	Stein A. Lundby	QCPA446C1	QCPA446C1 5504	
23696	7590	02/25/2005		EXAMINER		
-	ım Incorpoi	rated	NGUYEN, STEVEN H D			
Patents Department 5775 Morehouse Drive				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Diego	San Diego, CA 92121-1714			2665		
				DATE MAILED: 02/25/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s))ئ کل				
	09/852,389	LUNDBY ET AL.	O'				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Steven HD Nguyen	2665					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence ac	ldress				
• •	DIVIQUET TO EVOIDE 2 MON	ITH(S) EDOM					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH atute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 10) days will be considered timel S from the mailing date of this c DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.				
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09	9 May 2001.						
<u> </u>	his action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		s, prosecution as to the	e merits is				
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		·					
4)⊠ Claim(s) 2-29 is/are pending in the applicati	ion.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	☑ Claim(s) <u>2-29</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.						
•	D) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the		•	* *				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. & 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
• — • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		lication No					
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the p	• •		Stage				
application from the International Bur	•		J				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not re-	ceived.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ 		fail Date mal Patent Application (PT0	O-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		-				

Application/Control Number: 09/852,389

Art Unit: 2665

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 2-29 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-29 of U.S. Patent No. 6285655. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the patent claims are encompassed the limitation of the present application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 24-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kotzin (USP 6173005).

Page 3

Art Unit: 2665

Regarding claim 24, Kozin discloses an apparatus for providing particularized transmissions comprising a first processing element configured to cover a traffic channel of each of the particularized transmissions with a Walsh sequence, wherein each traffic channel is covered with a different Walsh sequence (Fig 4, Ref 312 is spreading the information on traffic channel TCH 1 with a W code that different from TCH 2, See Fig 6, TCH 1 – N use the W I- K) and a second processing element configured to cover pilot data for each of the particularized transmissions with pilot Walsh sequences, wherein each pilot is covered with a different pilot Walsh sequence (Fig 4, Ref 310 discloses a pilot data spreaded with W x and 411 is a pilot data spreaded with Wy), the second processing element communicatively coupled to the first processing element (Fig 4, Ref 314 discloses 310 and 312 are coupled).

Regarding claim 27, Kozin discloses apparatus for providing improved performance in a particularized transmission, comprising a first processing element configured to cover traffic channel of the particularized transmission with a Walsh sequence orthogonal to Walsh sequences of surrounding transmissions (Fig 4, Ref 312 is spreading the information on traffic channel TCH 1 with a W code that different from TCH 2, See Fig 6, TCH 1 – N use the W I- K), and a second processing element configured to cover pilot data of the particularized transmission with a pilot Walsh sequence orthogonal to pilot Walsh sequences covering pilot data of the surrounding transmissions (Fig 4, Ref 310 discloses a pilot data spreaded with W x and 411 is a pilot data spreaded with Wy), and communicatively coupled to the first processing element (Fig 4, Ref 314 discloses 310 and 312 are coupled).

Regarding claim 25 and 28, Kozin discloses the pilot Walsh sequences are derived from a basic Walsh sequence (Fig 7, Ref W0 is a basic of Walsh code).

Art Unit: 2665

Regarding claims 26 and 29, Kozin discloses the basic Walsh sequence is Walsh sequence zero (Fig 7, Ref W0 is a basic of Walsh code being zero).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven HD Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3159. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy D Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steven HD Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2665 2/17/05